Contents Volume 12:1 March 1989

Buss, D. M. Sex differences in human mate preferences: Evolutionary hypotheses tested in 37 cultures 1

Open Peer Commentary

Barnard, C. J. Sex, wealth, and productivity: The neo-Darwinian way 14
Bixler, R. H. Diversity: A historical/comparative perspective 15
Borgia, G. Typology and human mating preferences 16
Caporael, L. R. Mechanisms matter: The difference between sociobiology and evolutionary psychology 17
Crawford, C. Sex differences in life histories: The role of sexual selection and mate choice 18
Dickemann, M. Aggregates, averages, and behavioral plasticity 18
Essock, S. M. Spouse preference shifts with age 19
Ghiselin, M. T. Darwinism *versus* neo-Darwinism in the study of human mate preferences 20
Gladue, B. A. Missing link in mate preference studies: Reproduction 21
Glenn, N. D. Intersocietal variation in the mate preferences of males and females 21
Hartung, J. Too many P's in the pod 23
Irons, W. Mating preferences surveys: Ethnographic follow-up would be a good next step 24
Kenrick, D. T. & Keefe, R. C. Time to integrate sociobiology and social psychology 24
Leonard, J. L. *Homo sapiens*: A good fit to theory, but posing some enigmas 26
Lonner, W. J. Another intriguing data bank for use in testing culture-related hypotheses 27
Nur, N. The sociobiology of human mate preference: On testing evolutionary hypotheses 28
Nyborg, H. & Boeggild, C. Mating behavior: Moves of mind or molecules? 29
Rancour-Laferriere, D. Some psychoanalytic considerations 30
Rowe, D. C. Preference for mates: Cultural choice or natural desire? 30
Rushton, J. P. Epigenesis and social preference 31
Russell, R. J. H. & Bartrip, J. *Homo sociobiologicus* not found 32
Smuts, R. W. Behavior depends on context 33
Symons, D. The psychology of human mate preferences 34
Thornhill, N. W. Characteristics of female desirability: Facultative standards of beauty 35
Tooby, J. & Cosmides, L. The innate versus the manifest: How universal does universal have to be? 36
Wallen, K. Mate selection: Economics and affection 37
Zohar, A. & Guttman, R. Mate preference is not mate selection 38

Author's Response

Buss, D. M. Toward an evolutionary psychology of human mating 39

Deregowski, J. B. Real space and represented space: Cross-cultural perspectives 51

Open Peer Commentary

Biederman, I. The uncertain case for cultural effects in pictorial object recognition 74
Caron-Pargue, J. Is pictorial space "perceived" as real space? 75
Coren, S. Cross-cultural studies of visual illusions: The physiological confound 76
Danto, A. C. Variations in pictorial culture 77
Day, R. H. Images, depth cues, and cross-cultural differences in perception 78
Downs, R. M. Representations of space and place: A developmental perspective 79
Eliot, J. What you see isn't always what you know 80
Ellis, H. D. The distinction between object recognition and picture recognition 81
Freeman, N. H. A computational approach to picture production and consumption is needed right here 82
Halpern, D. F. Things and pictures of things: Are perceptual processes invariant across cultures? 84
Hirtle, S. C. The representation of space: In the 2/3i of the beholder 85
Hubbard, T. L., Baird, J. C. & Ajmal, A. Different skills or different knowledge? 86
Indow, T. Picture in visual space and recognition of similarity 87
Jahoda, G. On the rationale for cross-cultural research 87
Kennedy, J. M. Universals of depiction, illusion as nonpictorial, and limits to depiction 88
McGurk, H. Real space and represented space: Cross-cultural convergences 90
Peebles, C. S. The archaeology of space: Real and representational 91
Piggins, D. Plea for more exploration of cross-cultural cognitive space 91
Pollack, R. H. Pictures, maybe; illusions, no 92
Rose, D. Many a slip 'twixt external and internal representation 93
Smothergill, D. W. Whither cross-cultural perception? 93
Thro, E. B. Cultural determination of picture space: The acid test 94
van de Vijver, F. J. R. & Poortinga, Y. H. Cross-cultural research in perception: The missing theoretical perspective 95
Weale, R. A. Perceptions in perspective 96
Wenderoth, P. Cross-cultural research needs cross-fertilisation 97
Wilkie, D. M. & Willson, R. J. Comparative cognition of spatial representation 97

Author's Response

Deregowski, J. B. (Largely) unicultural psychologists in multicultural space 98

Turkkan, J. S. Classical conditioning: The new hegemony 121

Open Peer Commentary

Alexieva, A. & Nicolov, N. A. Brain mechanisms in classical conditioning 137
Bersh, P. J. & Whitehouse, W. G. The domain of classical conditioning: Extensions to Pavlovian-operant interactions 137
Domjan, M. & Nash, S. Conditioning of sexual and reproductive behavior: Extending the hegemony to the propagation of species 138
Dworkin, B. R. Learning and functional utility 139
Fantino, E. Response utility in classical and operant conditioning 141
Fields, C. Explaining classical conditioning: Phenomenological unity conceals mechanistic diversity 141
Furedy, J. J. Flights of teleological fancy about classical conditioning do not produce valid science or useful technology 142
Gardner, B. T. & Gardner, R. A. Beyond Pavlovian classical conditioning 143
Grossberg, S. Classical conditioning: The role of interdisciplinary theory 144
Hollis, K. L. Preparatory response hypotheses: A muddle of causal and functional analyses 145
Jacobs, W. J. What is classical conditioning? 146
Kehoe, E. J. Associative theory versus classical conditioning: Their proper relationship 147
Kentridge, R. W. Complexity at the organismic and neuronal levels 147
Kimmel, H. D. The importance of classical conditioning 148
Klosterhalfen, S. & Klosterhalfen, W. Beyond respondent conditioning 149
Klosterhalfen, W. A promising new strategy for studying conditioned immunomodulation 150
Krank, M. D. Pavlovian conditioning: Providing a bridge between cognition and biology 151
Lacey, H. Classical conditioning beyond the laboratory 152
Lloyd, D. Extending the "new hegemony" of classical conditioning 152
Locurto, C. The dark side of hegemony 153
MacQueen, G., MacRae, J. & Siegel, S. Contiguity, contingency, adaptiveness, and controls 154
Miller, R. R. Classical conditioning: The new hyperbole 155
Moore, J. W. Cerebro-cerebellar learning loops and language skills 156
Overmier, J. B. Mis(sed)-representations 156
Riley, A. L. Classical conditioning: A parsimonious analysis? 157
Samar, V. J. & Berent, G. P. Classical conditioning and language: The old hegemony 158
Smotherman, W. P. & Robinson, S. R. The conditioned response: More than a knee-jerk in the ontogeny of behavior 159
Westland, J. C. & Kochen, M. Classical conditioning: A manifestation of Bayesian neural learning 160
Wickram, I. Classical conditioning and the placebo effect 160

Author's Response

Turkkan, J. S. Classical conditioning beyond the reflex: An uneasy rebirth 161

Continuing Commentary

On Libet, B. (1985) Unconscious cerebral initiative and the role of conscious will in voluntary action. BBS 8:529–66. 181

Salter, D. Voluntary process and the readiness potential: Asking the right questions 181

Editorial Commentary 183

Author's Response

Libet, B. The timing of a subjective experience 183

On Kitcher, P. (1987) Précis of *Vaulting Ambition: Sociobiology and the Quest for Human Nature.* BBS 10:61–99. 185

Walter, A. Pop goes the weasel 185

Author's Response

Kitcher, P. Proximate and developmental analysis 186

Contents Volume 12:2 June 1989

Gottlieb, G. L., Corcos, D. M. & Agarwal, G. C. Strategies for the control of voluntary movements with one mechanical degree of freedom 189

Open Peer Commentary

Adamovich, S. V. & Feldman, A. G. The prerequisites for one-joint motor control theories 210
Bridgeman, B. Skeletal and oculomotor control systems compared 212
Bullock, D. Saturation is not an evolutionarily stable strategy 212
Burns, B. D. & Summers, J. J. Strategies and motor programs 214
Cordo, P. J., Horak, F. B. & Moore, S. P. On to real-life movements 214
Flanders, M. Pulses, bursts, and single-joint movements 215
Flash, T. Speed-insensitive and speed-sensitive strategies in multijoint movements 215
Ghez, C. & Gordon, J. Strategies are a means to an end 216
Gielen, C. C. A. M. & Denier van der Gon, J. J. If a particular strategy is used, what aspects of the movement are controlled? 218
Godaux, E. The strategy used to increase the amplitude of the movement varies with the muscle studied 219
Hallett, M. Experiment and reality 219
Hasan, Z. & Karst, G. M. Task variables and the saturation of the excitation pulse 219
Heuer, H. Movement strategies as points on equal-outcome curves 220
Hoffman, D. S. & Strick, P. L. Force requirements and patterns of muscle activity 221
Holding, D. H. Two ways to reduce motor programming load 224
Houk, J. C. Bursts of discharge recorded from the red nucleus may provide real measures of Gottlieb's excitation pulses 224
Kelso, J. A. S. Degrees of freedom, dynamical laws, and boundary conditions for discrete voluntary movement 225
Lacquaniti, F. Strategies for single-joint movements should also work for multijoint movements 225
Latash, M. L. Direct pattern-imposing control or dynamic regulation? 226
Loeb, G. E. Strategies for the control of studies of voluntary movements with one mechanical degree of freedom 227
MacKay, W. A. Braking may be more critical than acceleration 227
Neilson, P. D. EMG bursts, sampling, and strategy in movement control 228
Newell, K. M., van Emmerik, R. E. A. & McDonald, P. V. On simple movements and complex theories (and vice versa) 229
Partridge, L. D. At least two strategies 230
Ramos, C., Stark, L. & Hannaford, B. Time optimality, proprioception, and the triphasic EMG pattern 231
Teulings, H.-L. & Thomassen, A. J. W. M. Is handwriting a mixed strategy or a mixture of strategies? 232
Wallace, S. A. & Weeks, D. L. Initiating voluntary movements: Wrong theories for the wrong behavior? 233
Walter, C. B. Elementary conditions for elemental movement strategies 234
Wann, J. P., Nimmo-Smith, I. & Wing, A. M. Why are "strategies" sensitive? Smoothing the way for a raison d'être 235
Windhorst, U. What is adapted in strategy-governed movements? 236

Authors' Response

Corcos, D. M., Gottlieb, G. L. & Agarwal, G. C. Does constraining movements constrain the development of movement theories? 237

Krueger, L. E. Reconciling Fechner and Stevens: Toward a unified psychophysical law 251

Open Peer Commentary

Allik, J. Is a unified psychophysical law realistic? 267
Anderson, N. H. Integration psychophysics 268
Baird, J. C. The fickle measuring instrument 269
Birnbaum, M. H. To resolve Fechner versus Stevens: Settle the dispute concerning "ratios" and "differences" 270
Boynton, R. M. About assumptions and exponents 271
Brysbaert, M. & d'Ydewalle, G. Unifying psychophysics: And what if things are not so simple? 271
Dorfman, D. D. Jnds and ROCs 273
Geissler, H.-G. Psychophysical law: The need for more than one level of explanation 274
Gescheider, G. A. Are the power exponents of magnitude-estimation functions too high? 275
Hellman, R. P. Is Stevens's power law valid? 276
Indow, T. Psychophysics: On the possibility of another approach 276
Laming, D. Experimental evidence for Fechner's and Stevens's laws 277
Lukas, J. On various ways of establishing a psychophysical function empirically 281
Macmillan, N. A., Braida, L. D. & Durlach, N. I. Psychophysical laws: A call for deregulation 282
Marks, L. E. G and S go fishing 282
McGill, W. J. Rubber scales and partial quantification 283
Murray, D. J. Nineteenth-century attempts to decide between psychophysical laws 284
Norwich, K. H. The Fechner–Stevens law is the law of transmission of information 285
Parker, S. Psychophysical law: Some doubts about unification 286

Poulton, E. C. Uncertain size of exponent when judging without familiar units 286
Rule, S. J. Magnitude scales, category scales, and number scales 288
Scheerer, E. Conjuring Fechner's spirit 288
Schneider, B. Is there really only one representation for stimulus intensity? 290
Shepard, R. N. On the origin and function of the psychophysical transformation 290
Teghtsoonian, R. & Teghtsoonian, M. Unified psychophysics: Wouldn't it be loverly . . . 292
Treisman, M. Sensory scaling: Unanswered questions 293
Wagner, M. Fantasies in psychophysical scaling: Do category estimates reflect the true psychophysical scale? 294
Ward, L. M. Option 4: Forswear *the* psychophysical law 295
Warren, R. M. Sensory magnitudes and their physical correlates 296
Wasserman, G. S. & Wang-Bennett, L. T. Unity and diversity of neurelectric and psychophysical functions: The invariance question 297
Weiss, D. J. Psychophysics and metaphysics 298

Author's Response

Krueger, L. E. Psychophysical law: Keep it simple 299

Lightfoot, D. The child's trigger experience: Degree-0 learnability 321

Open Peer Commentary

Baker, C. L. Some observations on degree of learnability 334
Buckingham, H. W. On triggers 335
Cinque, G. Parameter setting in "instantaneous" and real-time acquisition 336
Clark, R. Causality and parameter setting 337
Freidin, R. & Quicoli, A. C. Zero-stimulation for parameter setting 338
Grimshaw, A. D. Infinitely nested Chinese "black boxes": Linguists and the search for Universal (innate) Grammar 339
Grimshaw, J. & Pinker, S. Positive and negative evidence in language acquisition 341
Grodzinsky, Y. The language learner: A trigger-happy kid? 342
Haider, H. Language acquisition: What triggers what? 343
Harris, R. Degree-0 explanation 344
Joshi, A. K. A possible mathematical specification of "degree-0" or "degree-0 plus a little" learnability 345
Koster, J. Does Universal Grammar exist? 347
Kroch, A. Language learning and language change 348
Lasnik, H. The nature of triggering data 349
Lorch, M. P. The true nature of the linguistic trigger 350
McCawley, J. D. INFL′, Spec, and other fabulous beasts 350
Morgan, J. L. Learnability considerations and the nature of trigger experiences in language acquisition 352
Neale, S. On *one* as an anaphor 353
O'Grady, W. Two perspectives on learnability 354
Rizzi, L. On the format for parameters 355
Schlesinger, I. M. Language acquisition: Dubious assumptions and a specious explanatory principle 356
Snow, C. E. & Tomasello, M. Data on language input: Incomprehensible omission indeed! 357
Stabler, Jr., E. P. What's a trigger? 358
Vincent, N. Observing obsolescence 360
Wasow, T. Why degree-0? 361
Wilkins, W. Why degree-0? 362
Williams, E. Linguistic variation and learnability 363

Author's Response

Lightfoot, D. Matching parameters to simple triggers 364

Continuing Commentary

On Macphail, E. M. (1987) The comparative psychology of intelligence. BBS 10:645–95. 377

Author's Response

Macphail, E. M. Comparative psychology: A steady-state universe 377

Contents Volume 12:3 September 1989

Strong, G. W. & Whitehead, B. A. A solution to the tag-assignment problem for neural networks **381**

Open Peer Commentary

Aiple, F. & Fischer, B. Synchrony of spikes and attention in visual cortex 397
Bridgeman, B. Modeling separate processing pathways for spatial and object vision 398
Butler, B. E. Do we need an early locus of attention to resolve illusory conjunctions? 398
Cave, K. R. Visual attention and beyond 400
Dawson, M. R. W. Constraining tag-assignment from above and below 400
Duncan, J. Parallel processing: Giving up without a fight 402
Feldman, J. A. Tags is for kids 403
Fields, C. Affordance perception and the Y-magnocellular pathway 403
Findlay, J. & Kentridge, R. More packaging needed before tags are added 404
Hardin, C. L. The bicameral retina at a glance 405
Krueger, L. E. & Stadtlander, L. M. Features and locations: Dichotomy or continuum? 406
Kruschke, J. K. State transitions in constraint satisfaction networks 407
Lesperance, R. M. & Kaplan, S. A nonspatial solution to a spatial problem 408
Levenick, J. R. A self-organizing perceptual system 409
Müller, H. J., Humphreys, G. W., Quinlan, P. T. & Donnelly, N. Fundamental design limitations in tag assignment 410
Parker, D. M. Simultaneous processing of features may not be possible 411
Plaut, D. C. Neural networks and computational theory: Solving the right problem 411
Prinzmetal, W. & Ivry, R. Damn the (behavioral) data, full steam ahead 413
Sandon, P. A. An attentional hierarchy 414
Sun, R. & Schalit, E. Is the tag necessary? 415
Wagemans, J., Verfaillie, K., De Graef, P. & Lamberts, K. Is extension to perception of real-world objects and scenes possible? 415
Winder, C. P. Where's the psychological reality? 417
Young, M. P., Paterson, I. R. & Perrett, D. I. Attention to detail? 417

Authors' Response

Strong, G. W. & Whitehead, B. A. The value of modeling visual attention 419

Thagard, P. Explanatory coherence **435**

Open Peer Commentary

Achinstein, P. Explanation and acceptability 467
Bereiter, C. & Scardamalia, M. When weak explanations prevail 468
Cheng, P. C.-H. & Keane, M. Explanatory coherence as a psychological theory 469
Chi, M. T. H. Assimilating evidence: The key to revision? 470
Cohen, L. J. Two problems for the explanatory coherence theory of acceptability 471
Dawes, R. M. Thagard's Principle 7 and Simpson's paradox 472
Dietrich, E. Is Thagard's theory of explanatory coherence the new logical positivism? 473
Earle, D. C. On the testability of ECHO 474
Feldman, J. A. What's in a link? 474
Gabrys, G. & Lesgold, A. Coherence: Beyond constraint satisfaction 475
Giere, R. N. What does explanatory coherence explain? 475
Hobbs, J. R. Are explanatory coherence and a connectionist model necessary? 476
Josephson, J. R. Inference to the best explanation is basic 477
Klayman, J. & Hogarth, R. M. Does ECHO explain explanation? A psychological perspective 478
Levine, D. S. Explanatory coherence in neural networks? 479
Lycan, W. G. Explanationism, ECHO, and the connectionist paradigm 480
Mangan, B. & Palmer, S. New science for old 480
McCauley, R. N. Acceptability, analogy, and the acceptability of analogies 482
McDermott, D. Optimization and connectionism are two different things 483
O'Rorke, P. Coherence and abduction 484
Papineau, D. Probability and normativity 484
Read, S. J. & Miller, L. C. Explanatory coherence in understanding persons, interactions, and relationships 485
Reggia, J. A. Measuring the plausibility of explanatory hypotheses 486
Simon, H. A. ECHO and STAHL: On the theory of combustion 487
Sintonen, M. Theory autonomy and future promise 488
Wetherick, N. E. Psychology, or sociology of science? 489
Zytkow, J. M. Testing ECHO on historical data 489

Author's Response

Thagard, P. Extending explanatory coherence 490

Rushton, J. P. Genetic similarity, human altruism, and group selection 503

Open Peer Commentary

Anderson, J. L. A methodological critique of the evidence for genetic similarity detection 518
Archer, J. Why help friends when you can help sisters and brothers? 519
Daly, M. On distinguishing evolved adaptation from epiphenomena 520
Dunbar, R. I. M. Genetic similarity theory needs more development 520
Economos, J. Altruism, nativism, chauvinism, racism, schism, and jizzum 521
Eibl-Eibesfeldt, I. Familiality, xenophobia, and group selection 523
Eysenck, H. J. Testing one of Rushton's predictions 523
Findlay, C. S. Biocultural versus biological systems: Implications for genetic similarity theory 524
Gangestad, S. W. Uncompelling theory, uncompelling data 525
Ghiselin, M. T. Genetics versus economics as the basis for friendships and other preferences 526
Gouzoules, H. Genetic similarity between friends and lovers: Is an evolutionary view warranted? 526
Graves, J. & Byrne, R. W. Mate selection: The wrong control group 527
Hallpike, C. R. Green beard theory 528
Hartung, J. Testing genetic similarity: Out of control 529
Hepper, P. G. Recognising kin = Recognising genetic similarity 530
Jensen, A. R. "Total perceived value" as the basis of assortative mating in humans 530
Kenrick, D. T. Altruism, Darwinism, and the gift of Josiah Wedgewood 531
Kline, P. Not genes: Behaviour 532
Krebs, D. Detecting genetic similarity without detecting genetic similarity 533
Leek, M. & Smith, P. K. Phenotypic matching, human altruism, and mate preference 534
Lynn, R. Balanced polymorphism for ethnocentric and nonethnocentric alleles 535
Masters, R. D. If "birds of a feather . . . ," why do "opposites attract"? 535
Nagoshi, C. T. How important are distal genetic factors in human assortative mating? 537
Reynolds, V. When is similarity genetic? 538
Ridley, M. When does natural selection favour assortative mating? 539
Rowe, D. C. Why birds of a feather flock together: Genetic similarity? 540
Stam, H. J. How not to explain psychological phenomena 541
Tooby, J. & Cosmides, L. Kin selection, genic selection, and information-dependent strategies 542
van den Berghe, P. L. Heritable phenotypes and ethnicity 544
Vine, I. The role of genes in genetic similarity detection 545
Wahlsten, D. Science or prejudice? 546
Waldman, B. Sociobiology, sociology, and pseudoevolutionary reasoning 547
Wilson, D. S. Problems with the altruism hypothesis 548

Author's Response

Rushton, J. P. Similarity and ethnicity mediate human relationships, but why? 548

Chevalier-Skolnikoff, S. Spontaneous tool use and sensorimotor intelligence in *Cebus* compared with other monkeys and apes 561

Open Peer Commentary

Adams-Curtis, L. E. Does a Piagetian description work? 588
Anderson, J. R. On the contents of capuchins' cognitive tool-kit 588
Baldwin, J. D. Does "spontaneous" behavior require "cognitive special creation"? 589
Bard, K. A. & Vauclair, J. What's the tool and where's the goal? 590
Bekoff, M. Tools, terms, and telencephalons: Neural correlates of "complex" and "intelligent" behavior 591
Bernstein, I. S. Cognitive explanations: Plausibility is not enough 593
Branch, M. N. Using behavior to explain behavior 594
Etienne, A. S. The application of the Piagetian stage concept to comparative research 595
Falk, D. Primate tool use: But what about their brains? 595
Fragaszy, D. M. Tool use, imitation, and insight: Apples, oranges, and conceptual pea soup 596
Gibson, K. R. Tool use in cebus monkeys: Moving from orthodox to neo-Piagetian analyses 598
Greenfield, P. M. *Cebus* uses tools, but what about representation? Comparative evidence for generalized cognitive structures 599
Johnson, M. & Karmiloff-Smith, A. The right tools for the job? 600
Johnston, T. D. & Toth, J. P. Piagetian stages and the anagenetic study of cognitive evolution 600
Kortlandt, A. The applicability of Piagetian concepts to animals 602
Macphail, E. M. Tool use implies sensorimotor skill: But differences in skills do not imply differences in intelligence 602
Menzel, E. W., Jr. Is intelligent behavior a directly observable phenomenon? 603
Parker, S. T. Imitation and derivative reactions 604
Pepperberg, I. M. Tool use in birds: An avian monkey wrench? 604
Savage, A. & Snowdon, C. T. Apples and oranges: The pitfalls of comparative intelligence 605
Savage-Rumbaugh, S., Brakke, K. & Wilkinson, K. Tool use in monkeys 606
Tomasello, M. Cognition as cause 607
Visalberghi, E. Primate tool use: Parsimonious explanations make better science 608
Westergaard, G. C. & Sackett, G. P. Advanced sensorimotor intelligence in *Cebus* and *Macaca* 609

Author's Response

Chevalier-Skolnikoff, S. Tool use in *Cebus*: Its relation to object manipulation, the brain, and ecological adaptations 610

Contents Volume 12:4 December 1989

Windhorst, U., Hamm, T. M. & Stuart, D. G. On the function of muscle and reflex partitioning 629

Open Peer Commentary

Abbs, J. H. & Edin, B. B. Muscle partitioning via multiple inputs: An alternative hypothesis 645
Bässler, U. Muscle and reflex partitioning in insects? 646
Bawa, P. & Calancie, B. Have studies of motor unit recruitment been too restrictive? 647
Burke, D. Involvement of low-threshold motoneurons in reflex partitioning 648
Burke, R. E. Functional partitioning of motor unit populations 648
Cohen, A. H. Multiple mechanisms for partitioning 649
Delcomyn, F. A comparative approach to muscle function 650
Duysens, J. Partitioning of reflexes: A partitioned truth 651
Edgerton, V. R., Roy, R. R. & Gregor, R. J. Motor unit architecture and interfiber matrix in sensorimotor partitioning 651
English, A. W., Lennard, P. R. & Nichols, T. R. Clumping and splitting in the neuromuscular system 652
Gandevia, S. C. Partitioning hypothesis in perspective 653
Gans, C. Considering functional compartments in muscle 654
Gielen, C. C. A. M. On neural mechanisms for muscle and reflex partitioning 655
Hulliger, M. Partitioning in the fusimotor system? 656
Hultborn, H. & Illert, M. Is "partitioning" an interesting concept? 657
Jami, L. Some quantitative data on the sensory equipment of cat peroneal muscles 658
Johansson, H. Partitioning and the γ-system 659
Kernell, D. Central versus peripheral aspects of neuromuscular regionalization 660
Loeb, G. E. & Richmond, F. J. R. Motor partitioning: Epiphenomena masquerading as control theory 660
Maier, A. Oxidative indexes and muscle spindle densities 661
Matthews, P. B. C. Muscle organization: Beware of counting trees when mapping the forest 662
McCue, M. P., Guinan, J. J., Jr., Kobler, J. B. & Vacher, S. R. Acoustic reflex partitioning in the stapedius 663
Mendell, L. M. Central partitioning may be altered during high-frequency activation of the Ia-motoneuron connection 665
Munson, J. B. & Fo hring, R. C. On the regional distribution of muscle spindles 666
Person, R. Reflex partitioning and differential control of human motor units 667
Proske, U. How useful is a concept such as muscle partitioning? 667
Székely, G. A perfect design: The multifunctional muscle 668
Tam, D. C. The physiological basis and implications of differential motor activation 669
Taylor, A. Is sensory-motor partitioning a good hypothesis? 669

Authors' Response

Windhorst, U., Hamm, T. M. & Stuart, D. G. What is the organization, scope, and functional significance of partitioning? 670

Caporael, L. R., Dawes, R. M., Orbell, J. M. & van de Kragt, A. J. C. Selfishness examined: Cooperation in the absence of egoistic incentives 683

Open Peer Commentary

Brewer, M. B. Ambivalent sociality: The human condition 699
Caraco, T. Sociality: Costs, benefits, and mechanisms 700
Dunbar, R. I. M. Selfishness reexamined 700
Eldredge, N. Biological underpinnings of social systems 702
Findlay, C. S. & Lumsden, C. J. Cooperation is alive and well 702
Foley, R. Ecological and social factors in hominid evolution 704
Frank, R. H. Honesty as an evolutionarily stable strategy 705
Gibbard, A. Selfish genes and ingroup altruism 706
Gilbert, M. Folk psychology takes sociality seriously 707
Heyman, G. M. The case of the "redundant" donor: Neither egoistic nor altruistic 708
Houston, A. I. & Hamilton, W. D. Selfishness reexamined: No man is an island 709
Katz, L. D. The rationality of cooperation 710
Kenrick, D. T. Selflessness examined: Is avoiding tar and feathers nonegoistic? 711
Knauft, B. M. Sociality versus self-interest in human evolution 712
Krebs, D. Egoistic incentives in experimental games 713
Lefebvre, V. A. "Social man" versus "conscientious man"? 714
Liebrand, W. B. G. Do we need two souls to explain cooperation? 715
Mansbridge, J. Love and duty: The new frontiers 717
Oyama, S. Innate selfishness, innate sociality 717
Rachlin, H. Ingroup bias is a kind of egoistic incentive 718
Rapoport, A. Egoistic incentive: A hypothesis or an ideological tenet? 719
Shweder, R. A. Too important to be left to rational choice 720
Sonuga-Barke, E. J. S. The fallacy of selfish selflessness 721
Stearns, S. C. Demonstrating unselfishness: They haven't done it yet 722
Stenseth, N. C. Can we afford not to believe that man is selfish? 722
Teigen, K. H. Counting contributions 723

Tetlock, P. E. The selfishness-altruism debate: In defense of agnosticism 723
Tooby, J. & Cosmides, L. Evolutionary psychologists need to distinguish between the evolutionary process, ancestral selection pressures, and psychological mechanisms 724
Vine, I. Selfishness, sociobiology, and self-identities: Dilemmas and confusions 725
Wallach, L. & Wallach, M. A. How best to critique egoism? 726

Authors' Response

Caporael, L. R., Dawes, R. M., Orbell, J. M. & van de Kragt, A. J. C. Thinking in sociality 727

Massaro, D. W. Multiple book review of *Speech perception by ear and eye: A paradigm for psychological inquiry* 741

Open Peer Commentary

Anderson, N. H. Speech perception as information integration 755
Bernstein, L. E. Independent or dependent feature evaluation: A question of stimulus characteristics 756
Bornstein, M. H. Discrimination and categorization across the life span 757
Campbell, R. Seeing speech is special 758
Cowan, N. Speech perception by ear, eye, hand, and mind 759
Crowder, R. G. Categorical perception of speech: A largely dead horse, surpassingly well kicked 760
Cutler, A. Straw modules 760
de Gelder, B. & Vroomen, J. Models in the mind, modules on the lips 762
Ehret, G. Categorical/continuous perception: A phenomenon pressed into different models 763
Gigerenzer, G. A general algorithm for pattern recognition? 764
Glucksberg, S. From speech perception to person perception? Not quite yet 765
Gósy, M. Speech perception from a Hungarian perspective 766
Kanevsky, D. A multiple source, or, is a striped apple more striped than a striped orange? 767
Krueger, L. E. Cognitive impenetrability of perception 768
MacKay, D. G. Is *Paradigm* a new and general paradigm for psychological inquiry? Read my lips 770
Pastore, R. E., Logan, R. J. & Layer, J. K. Continuous and discrete models and measures of speech events 772
Port, R. F. The fuzzy logical model of perception: A teaspoon for a pyramid 773
Studdert-Kennedy, M. Paradigm lost 774
Townsend, J. T. Winning "20 Questions" with mathematical models 775
Warren, R. M. The use of mathematical models in perceptual theory 776
Welch, R. B. A comparison of speech perception and spatial localization 776
Wilkening, F. Strong inferences about development 777

Author's Response

Massaro, D. W. The logic of the fuzzy logical model of perception 778